

Neighbourhood Forum 5

Wollongong's Heartland



Coniston, Figtree,
Gwynneville, Keiraville,
Mangerton, Mount
Keira, Mount St
Thomas, North
Wollongong, West
Wollongong,
Wollongong City.

Agenda for e-meeting on Wed 5th May 2021 by email

- 1 Presentation None possible
- 2 Apologies None necessary
- 3 Minutes of meeting of 7th April and matters arising; see pp. 12-15
- 4 Comments If you wish to object or comment on any of the recommendations in this agenda, please respond before the meeting date.
- 5 Responses 5.1 Community Survey; see rec p.2;
- 6 Reports 6.1 WCC Operational Plan 2021-22: see p.2 & **rec p.4**
6.2 Access to northern breakwater: see **rec p.4**
6.3 Smith Street Cycleway: see p.5
6.4 Bluescope Steel Liaison meeting; see p.5:
6.5 Community Land Management Plans: see p.5
6.6 Train Service: see p6 & **rec p.7**
6.7 Destination Wollongong: see p.7
- 7 Priorities 7.1 Liveability for our Suburbs; see p7 & **rec p.8**, Attachment p.14
7.2 City Centre Planning & Development: see p. 8
7.3 Stormwater Management: see p.8
- 8 Planning 8.1 - 8.4 DAs see **recs pp.7 & 9**;
8.5 DA determinations: see p.10
- 9 General Business
- 10 Snippets see p.11

Next Meeting/Agenda: on Wed. 2nd June 2021.

Current active membership of Neighbourhood Forum 5 : 398 households

5 Responses

5.1 Community Survey

We requested that this be brought forward to enable the results to be available before the Council elections. The staff response was that it is in the budget from July but is programmed to be done later in the year. Representations were then made direct to the General Manager. He has advised that he will consult with his executive team and Councillors and come back to us.

6 Reports

6.1 WCC draft Budget, Programs and Plans 2021/2022

On 19 April Council resolved to endorse and exhibit their 365 page Financial Year (FY) 2021-22 plans, including the draft Delivery Program, Operational Plan, Budget, Infrastructure Delivery Program (IDP), Revenue Policy, Fees & Charges and the Financial Strategy Policy. Submissions close Thursday 20 May 2021. Many of these documents are updated from those adopted in 2018 with the Community Strategic Plan and Resourcing Strategy.

On 23 April the Corporate Strategy Manager provided a half hour presentation to NF5 Executive and advised the documents can be accessed on <https://our.wollongong.nsw.gov.au/delivery-program-and-operational-plan>. Also Council has created a very useful interactive Map which shows the location of IDP projects. Link: <https://wollongong.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=cc583b334f5747a3bc7cf0cb20f93f0c>

The Delivery Program and Operational Plan ending in FY 2012-22 is an impressive, attractively produced 111 page document with information which presumably complies with the NSW Office of Local Government requirements for Councils. It lists Council's six Goals (protect our Environment, innovative & sustainable Economy, creative & vibrant City, connected & engaged Community, healthy & liveable City, and affordable & accessible Transport) and for each Goal provides details of Objectives, Strategies, Delivery Program and Operational plans. Council's five Strategic Priorities are stated as activating our Suburbs, Urban Greening, West Dapto development, active Transport & Connectivity and Business & Investment.

The organisation's five values are: to be Sustainable (use our community's resources responsibly); Courage (challenge the norm to be better); Respect (inclusive & considerate); One Team (together we deliver excellent service); and Integrity (honest & reliable). It includes a one page summary providing a valuable perspective on each of Council's 33 Services.

The Budget is a comprehensive document which indicates that, despite the effects of Covid-19, Council has been able to manage its resources well (including from the Strategic Projects internally restricted cash reserve that has been created in past years) and apparently is in a sound financial position.

Turnover exceeds \$300mpa. And - Rates and annual charges are ~66% of income from continuing operations. Employee salaries & wages are ~\$143mpa and the capitalised & distributed employee costs of over 13% continue to appear excessive when compared with other similar Councils.

A small average operating surplus is planned over the next 3 years, and unrestricted Available Funds are proposed to be maintained between 3.5% & 5.5% from continuing operations (pre-capital). Page 32 of the budget provides a handy list of Planning Studies & Investigations, including for Stormwater Services, and page 33 includes for Transport. On budget page 39 the forecast movements in Internally and Externally restricted assets are interesting, in particular re Strategic Projects and for Waste, as is the Domestic Waste Model on page 43.

The budget states the Available Funds are used as a buffer against unanticipated future costs and/or can be used to provide flexibility to take advantage of opportunities that may arise. However a list of potential initiatives or programs that have not been fully included in the financial estimates at this stage include two critically important ones, ie Foreshore Parking Strategy implications, and Implementation of Leading the Way program (said to be focussed on optimising performance through more effective, efficient and innovative service delivery) . It is requested the Foreshore Parking Strategy implications and Implementation of Leading the Way program urgently be provided with adequate funding in FY 2021-22, (perhaps Available Funds could be used).

Council has provided a list of IDP projects proposed for NF5 area in the 4 year period from FY 2021-22 to FY 2024-25 (attached), which should be helpful to alert the community on which year is scheduled for various stages of projects, ie Design and Construction. In particular the Design stage presents opportunities for higher levels of Community Engagement in Council's Policy, ie level 3: Involve and in relevant cases; and ultimately level 4: Collaborate.

For example for the Squires Way work there seems to be an obvious need to at least Involve the community, taking into account ever increasing traffic volumes on this vital link with the CBD, UoW's proposed Health & Wellbeing Precinct developments on the Innovation Campus, and the opportunity for shoulder widening for cyclists.

It is requested that Council urgently show estimated costs per project (which were eliminated in early 2019 during the term of a previous acting Director) to enable residents to suggest alternative projects which they consider to be higher priority than others. Also this is recognised throughout local government engineering as being critical for transparency and accountability.

Also the IDP Summary shows Stormwater Services Capital expenditure is 6.5% of total and Maintenance & Operations is 2.5%, whereas other data shows the Replacement cost of Stormwater Assets is 27% of Council's total (excluding non-infrastructure & non-depreciable assets). It is again requested that Council urgently correct this gross underfunding for Stormwater Services.

Recommendations

- i members advise NF5 Secretary by Monday 17 May the details of any changes they suggest for inclusion in the NF5 submission on Council's Plans and documents for FY 2021-22:
- ii NF5 Executive be authorised to make a submission to Council.

6.2 Access to Northern Breakwater

On 22 Feb 2021 Council's resolved to write to NSW Ports about the reopening of the northern seawall at Port Kembla, when considering the loss of life of five rock fishers in January at Port Kembla. On 3 March NF5 agreed to request Paul Scully MP to make urgent representations to the NSW Government so that conditional public access be available, at least during weekends, to the northern breakwater, with consideration of a new car park at the northern end of the northern breakwater. Also that Council be requested to write to relevant NSW departments requesting reopening public access to the northern breakwater.

On 7 April NF5 noted a response from Council's Traffic Engineer that NSW Ports' lessee Port Kembla Coal Terminal (PKCT) has confirmed Seawall Road is closed due to (undefined) safety reasons. Subsequently Paul Scully MP forwarded a letter from the CEO of NSW Ports which confirms their lessee PKCT "have concerns for the safety of the public interacting with coal trucks accessing the coal terminal". Also that from 1 April 2021 Australian Industrial Energy has taken possession of land at the southern end of Seawall Road for construction of the LNG import terminal and during construction "there is no location for safe public parking at the northern end of the breakwater", so access will remained closed for these reasons.

This raises questions including on what basis (eg history of accidents to motorists and fishers) and under whose authority has the long-standing public access to Seawall Rd and the northern breakwater been closed? Also, coal trucks travel daily on many Illawarra roads, so how can PKCT justify closing Seawall Road due to their concerns for the safety of the public interacting with coal trucks?

Recommendations

- i that Paul Scully be thanked for his action to date and requested to seek that the responsible Minister direct NSW Ports and their lessee PKCT to restore conditional safe public access for fishers to the Port Kembla

- northern breakwater, prior to construction starting on the new LNG terminal, during its construction, and post construction;
- ii that Council be requested to take appropriate action to achieve this outcome.

6.3 Smith St pop-up Cycleway Progress

Council's Senior Traffic Engineer has advised the Smith St Cycleway will be operational in week starting 3 May 2021. In Sep 2020 the then Director advised the project was proposed at short notice to attract TfNSW recent grants for works to be urgently completed.

There is significant community interest in how this cycleway will function, its expected use, the likely impact on pedestrians & motorists and its effectiveness. It is hoped it will be successful and soon lead to more separated cycleways in locations where shared paths are over-capacity and unsafe, which is the community's #1 priority as reported in Council's recent Cycling Strategy.

Council has carried out considerable work in designing and building the cycleway, which is complicated due to arrangements at road intersections and when the cycleway changes from one side of a street to the other. At the Keira, Church, Kembla and Corrimal Streets signalised intersections cyclists will need to exit the cycleway, use the kerb ramps to enter the footpath, safely merge with pedestrians, wait for the signals to allow, then cyclists and pedestrians can cross the side streets, enter the footpath, and cyclists can then rejoin the cycleway, until near the next intersection. At the Kembla Street roundabout the gap in the splitter island has been widened to enable cyclists to cross, along with pedestrians. It is expected that Council will promptly provide detailed information, monitor behaviours and reinforce messages to help the community understand the arrangements at intersections

6.4 Bluescope Steel Liaison Meeting

A meeting of the above committee was held on Thursday 18th March last with Harold Hanson, convenor of NF5, in attendance at the invitation of the Chairman Lord Mayor Gordon Bradbery.

The meeting was well attended with representatives from PKPM, Community Industry Group,,NSW Ports,AWI,Healthy Cities,NHF7, NHF5,Bluescope (Mr. D.Bell the General Manager and senior staff), and other invitees.

Mr.Bell provided a Company update showing that results for the half-year were good, the order book is the best ever for domestic sales, maintenance and similar matters, the Blast Furnace Reline Project, a new position appointment of a Chief Executive Climate Change, any incidents and complaints, clean-up work and other matters. Other senior executives also provided Reports The reports were all

comprehensive and open followed by detailed general discussion, questions and answers.

A copy of the minutes arising from the meeting is available.

Particular reference was made to the Community Service Awards Night organised by the Community Industry Group to be held on 4th June next.

6.5 Community Land Plan of Management

On 19 April 2021 Council resolved that the draft Community Land (“Generic”) Plan of Management (PoM) be exhibited for a minimum of 60 days, to include a public meeting with an independent chairperson. Then a further report be submitted outlining the details of submissions and the independent chairperson’s report. This refers to Council-owned land, which is required to be classified as either ‘Community’ or ‘Operational’. Community land requires a PoM and cannot be sold. This commenced in 1994 under the Local Government Act 1993, and update reports have been provided since then. Most council-owned community land is covered by the Generic PoM, but some has site-specific PoM (including in NF5 area: Botanic Gardens, Beaton Park, Mt Keira Summit Park).

Community land must be categorised into Park, Sportsground, Natural Area (Bushland, Wetland, Watercourse, Escarpment, Foreshore), General Community Use, or Area of Cultural Significance. This April 2021 report follows a review of the 2018 “Generic” PoM, and now includes 26 new community land parcels that require categorisation (three in Figtree), plus 30 areas of cultural significance (two being MacCabe Park and Market Square).

Some of the PoM also includes Crown-owned land, in which case these PoM must comply with the Crown Lands Act 2016 (eg. the Wollongong City Foreshore PoM does not comply). Following NF5 submission in March 2021 Council’s response included that development of a draft Crown Reserves PoM is proposed which will cover most of the 46 Crown Reserves referred to in a May 2019 report. Then work will commence to update the remaining site-specific PoMs, including the Wollongong City Foreshore PoM.

6.6 Train Services

In March, Paul Scully MP advised that his request for release of the McNaughton Report under GIPA had been declined. On 29 April, at the Sydney Morning Herald Infrastructure Summit Premier Berejiklian outlined how the NSW Government was to progress Faster Rail with trains up to 200 km/h on upgrading the existing tracks, and Fast Rail with trains 200 to 250 km/h on new tracks could deliver reduced train times, with Wollongong Sydney times cut by 25 minutes.

Recommendation

That Paul Scully MP be thanked for his efforts in trying to secure the release of the McNaughton report, and requesting that he convey to the Minister for Transport our interest in speeding up our train services, and whether this will include replacing slow speed points at Waterfall by high speed points, as part of the work now underway near this station.

6.7 Destination Wollongong

Amendments are being made to the Constitution are to satisfy Council's legal requirements and to ensure continuing financial support. The community arrangements and in particular the private operator input will continue unchanged.

7 Priorities

7.1 Liveability for Our Suburbs

The current issue here is the totally unacceptable proposal for development off Cosgrove Avenue Keiraville to which we have lodged formal objection – see 8.2 below.

KRAG (Keiraville Resident Action Group) held a public meeting on 24th April and the resolution passed at it is attached at page .

The background to the situation is that the proposal was refused and the matter is now under appeal. Since it was submitted in early 2020, DA2020/4 for 47 dwellings on a relatively remote bush block on a steep fire-prone ridge in the Mt Keira foothills has generated significant community concerns.

In 2012 a DA for 3 residential lots was approved and in 2017 the current owners got approval for a similar 3 lot proposal. In September 2020 the Southern Regional Planning Panel's comprehensive refusal of DA2020/4 for 47 dwellings included ten major points. One issue is the built form, scale and visual impact of the proposed development will have a significant adverse impact in the locality; another is the site is not suitable for the development proposal; also it is unacceptable under 5 clauses in Council's LEP and 10 clauses in the DCP, and finally it is not in the public interest.

An amended DA was advertised by Council recently but there are no details now on Council's website, other than stating the DA is "Under Appeal – Pending Court". It is understood a site conference is proposed for 19 August 2021, but Council staff have refused to commit to providing an assessment of the applicant's revised information to representatives of local community group KRAG. This lack of transparency is perplexing and it seems to contravene

provisions in Land and Environment Court’s Conciliation Conference Policy which include submissions and representations by residents

Recommendations

- i Council be requested to urgently develop appropriate protocols for appeals on DA Determinations that ensure Council’s involvement in the Land and Environment Court’s conciliation and court process is transparent including all additional documentation submitted by the developer and that Council provides for appropriate engagement of residents concerned about the DA
- ii the resolutions of the KRAG public meeting be endorsed.

7.2 City Centre Planning & Development

The current issue is cycleways – see 6.3 above

7.3 Stormwater Management.

The current issue here is that the effects of recent rainfall events have been noted. There has been no response yet to NF5’s request, from February 2021 meeting, that Council prioritise and expedite a comprehensive review of the Wollongong City 2015 Flood Risk Management Study and Plan for consideration by Council in September 2021. A positive is that the Central area Floodplain Risk Management Committee met in February, which is 10 months since the previous meeting in April 2020.

8 Planning

8.1 Please note that whilst these reviews, and the recommendations based on them, relating to each development application have been prepared with all due care and objectivity, no legal responsibility is accepted for errors, omissions or inadvertent misrepresentations, nor for any outcomes which might result from the assessments. As these reviews are only made with the information available, members are encouraged to make their own submissions with any additional comments to the Secretary of NF5 before the closing date.

8.2 DA/2021/344, 4 Townhouses, 328 Gipps Rd Keiraville

29th April

This is a proposal to amend plans for four two-story townhouses over garages similar to those to which we objected strongly, and were withdrawn. The objections remain, particularly about the impact on the character of the street, neighbours, trees and potential parking problems.



Recommendation

That the submission of objection be endorsed.

8.3 DA 2020/169 N. Wollongong Surf Club Restaurant

30th April

This is a proposal to use the top floor of the existing life saving club as a restaurant. Car parking is not to be provided on-site on the basis of an assessment of availability within 400m of the site (and who is going to walk that far to a restaurant?) yet still seems deficient. It does not appear to be in the public interest.



Comment

Whilst supporting the concept of surf clubs there do not seem to be very many members of this club in a building provided and maintained at ratepayers expense. It looks like a privatisation of public space in competition with a number legitimate outlets in the near vicinity. It would set a precedent for all surf clubs – a major policy change which should not take place without extensive public consultation.

Recommendation

that the submission of objection be endorsed.

8.5 DA determinations as notified from 1st July 2020

DA no. 20/...	Suburb	Address	Proposal	Forum Rec	Result Authority
19/874	Keiraville	6, Bulwarra St	4 town houses	Object	Approved Court.
19/1008	W'gong	1 Smith St	8 storey flats	Object	Refused Panel
Re- zoning	Figtree	Terrie Ave	Subdivision	Object	Withdrawn
15/1242	W'gong	16-18 Market Pl	4 storey units	Object	Refused Panel
20/645	W.W'gon g	39 Rosemount St	Dual Occupancy	Support	Approved Delegated
20/339	Figtree	103 Murray Pk rd	Dual Occupancy	Object	Approved Delegated
19/748	W'gong	264-268 Keira St & 23 Kenny Street	Mixed develop 15 stories	Object	Refused Regional P.
19/980	W'gong	82A Cliff Road	4 Storey Dual Occupancy	Object	Refused Panel
20/241	W'gong	93-95 Kembla St	7 storey residential	Object	Approved Panel

20/632	Gwynneville	14-16 Acacia Ave	8 townhouses	Object	Refused Panel
20/860	Figtree	6 Mallangong Close	Dual Occupancy	Support	Approved Delegated
20/4	Keiraville	14 Cosgrove Ave	Subdivision 47 dwellings	Object	Refused Regional P.
19/1356	W'gong	9-11 Park St	8 storey residential	Object	Approved Panel
20/913	West W'gong	11 Alkera Cc	Dual Occupancy	Support	Approved Panel
20/528	W'gong	359 Crown St	Storey hotel	Support concept Object details	Approved Regional P.
20/307	Figtree	5-7 Truscott Pl	Multi dwelling housing	Object	Refused Panel
20/1098	Keiraville	147 Gipps Road	Dual occupancy		Approved Delegated

9 General Business

10 Snippets

Right tree, right place, right time

Australia tops the world's charts in occurrence of skin cancer and intensity of heat waves, while concurrently achieving high childhood obesity levels, due in part to low rates of physical activity. These issues converge in the challenge of protecting school children from heat and ultra-violet light exposure whilst simultaneously encouraging them to select active modes of transport for school journeys.

A new performance-based tree-scape design approach for quantifying shaded walking routes using the pedestrian accessibility modelling tool "PedestrianCatch", combined with visual-functional treescape modelling for both solar impact analysis and qualitative aesthetic outcomes of different street tree-scape designs.

Targeted strategic street tree selection and planting in proximity to schools can provide the co-benefits of improved thermal comfort and reduced solar and ultra-violet exposure of children walking home from school. This performance-based design approach offers local government, public health and education departments with a way to mediate multiple and divergent concerns for climate amelioration, transport choices and population health by planting the right tree, positioned to provide shade in the right place at the right time.

Nano Langenheim et al

Street Art



<p>Neighbourhood Forum 5</p> <p>Wollongong's Heartland</p>		<p>Coniston, Figtree, Gwynneville, Keiraville, Mangerton, Mount Keira, Mount St Thomas, North Wollongong, West Wollongong, Wollongong City.</p>
--	--	--

Minutes of e-meeting on Wed 7th April 2021 by email

- | | | |
|---|--------------|---|
| 1 | Presentation | None possible |
| 2 | Apologies | None necessary |
| 3 | Minutes | of meeting of 3 rd March were adopted with no matters arising. |
| 4 | Comments | Incorporated into the minutes |
| 5 | Responses | <p>5.1 Public Transport in the City Centre
It was agreed that Council be requested to expedite completion of the draft City Centre “Access and Movement for People” study by June 2021 to prevent delays to consideration of the City Centre Planning Strategy documents.</p> <p>5.2 Cliff Road Cycleway
It was agreed that Council’s traffic section be requested to advise the expected timeframe for implementing safety improvements for pedestrians and cyclists along the Blue Mile shared path</p> <p>5.3 Maldon Dombarton and Northern Breakwater: noted</p> <p>5.4 Northern Breakwater: noted</p> <p>5.5 Parking Restriction near Letter Boxes: noted</p> <p>5.6 Fence at Keiraville School: noted</p> |

Current active membership of Neighbourhood Forum 5 : 397 households

6.1 Acquisitive Sculpture Competition: noted

6.2 Community Engagement policy

It was agreed that Council be advised that the Forum:

- 1 requests the inclusion of Neighbourhood Forums in all four Levels of Engagement table;
- 2 requests Council to give priority to implementing with relevant Neighbourhood Forum representatives level 4 Collaborative, or if not feasible, then at least Level 3 involvement;
- 3 supports the ability to address Council meetings on items in the agenda;
- 4 regrets that Neighbourhood Forums now do not have Council administrative support and are disconnected with the workings of Council;
- 5 request Council to re-introduce annual Ward meetings into the community consultation policy;
- 6 supports the use of information stands;
- 7 seeks clarification on how surveys are conducted;
- 8 is concerned that Submission timeframes sometimes are too short and the consultation period arranged during school and public holidays;
- 9 supports on-line engagement;
- 10 seeks clarification on the selection process for community reps on Reference and Advisory groups and how Council responds to Group's recommendations;
- 11 notes that the minutes for some of these groups are often not available within a reasonable time;
- 12 is concerned that there is little evidence of activity by focus groups or workshops.

6.3 Synthetic Playing Fields

It was agreed that Council:

- i be requested to review any current proposals to construct synthetic playing fields, including in Keiraville;
- ii not consider any new proposals until and unless the Ministerial Review supports them.

6.4 Fairy Creek Shared Path

It was noted that a number of members, whilst supporting the project in principle, have many detailed suggestions and concerns. It was agreed that, following a draft to those involved for comment, these be incorporated into a response to Council noting support in principle for a shareway in this location

6.5 Bike Share: noted

6.6 Public Art Guiding Principles

It was agreed that Council be advised of support for their Public Art Guiding Principles, and requests that Council will review the clutter in the Mall which manifestly contravenes these principles.

6.7 Connecting Neighbours Grants: noted

6.8 Administrative Policies: noted

6.9 Stuart Park & City Foreshore Plans of Management: noted.

7 Key Issues

7.1 City Centre Planning Strategy: noted

As noted earlier this has been deferred by Council for further studies and information – see Agenda item 5.1 for input from members towards the report on the City Centre.

7.2 High Rise Residential – noted.

7.3 Medium Density Development – noted.

7.4 Keiraville-Gwynneville: noted

7.5 South Wollongong: noted

7.6 Environment: noted

8 Planning

8.2 DA/2020/4, 42 dwellings 14, Cosgrove Ave Keiraville

It was noted that a number of members have detailed many grounds of opposition. Council has been requested to exhibit much missing information and to extend the exhibition period accordingly.

It was agreed that a submission of strong objection be sent following a draft to those involved for comment.

8.3 DA/2021/308, Dual occupancy, 119 Mt Keira Rd W.W’gong

It was agreed that a submission of support be sent.

8.3 DA determinations as notified from 1st July 2020: noted

9 General Business

9.1 Community Survey

Council staff have responded to NF5 request from March meeting that Council be requested to conduct the 2021 Community Survey (CS) so that results are publicly available at least a month before the September elections, but have advised the CS is scheduled to commence in October/early November 2021.

It was noted that noted that there has been only one CS (late 2019) since this Council commenced in Sep 2017 and, whilst it is most welcome that a CS is funded for 2021 calendar year, it is critically important for Councillors and the community to be able to compare the results of a second CS during their 4 year term and before the 4 Sep

It was agreed that, as a matter of importance and urgency, the GM be requested to advance the 2021 CS so that results are publicly available to Councillors and the community before the September Council elections.

9.2 Bike Parking in the City

Council are inviting community feedback by 12 May on plans for locations and numbers of new bike parking racks in 26 key sites across the City. They putting up signs and installing footpath stickers in places where bike parking is proposed to go. Draft plans, proposed locations, FAQs and more information is available on the [project webpage](#) and at [our libraries](#).

It was agreed that Members comment to the secretary before the 27th April for inclusion in the May agenda.

9.3 Intersection Bourke/Cliff St Wollongong

Council's current Infrastructure Delivery Program show improvements at this intersection programmed for Design in 2020/21 and Construction in 2021/22. This busy intersection has been the subject of various plans and discussions in previous years regarding the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles, particularly since Council reopened Cliff Road in front of the hotel.

It was agreed to request Council to advise when the draft design is expected to be available for community feedback.

**RESOLUTIONS PASSED *unanimously* AT KRAG PUBLIC MEETING
NEAR KOOLOOBONG OVAL SATURDAY 24 APRIL 2021
REGARDING DA 2020/4 AND ITS AMENDMENTS AND THE
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION PROCESS**

1. That this meeting of Keiraville residents and supporters calls on Wollongong City Council staff and elected members to engage with the Keiraville Residents Action Group and other Wollongong residents in joint action opposing the DA 2020/4 and its amendments as an entirely inappropriate development proposal at 14 Cosgrove Avenue Keiraville. And that impacted residents should be made a party to the conciliation process.
2. That this meeting of Keiraville residents and supporters calls on Wollongong City Council to make public:
 - all relevant information on this proposal, specifically including all information submitted by the developer following the public exhibition period, between now and the Land and Environment Court hearing and beyond and
 - Council's own assessment reports, including its assessment of the amended DA 2020/4 for the Land and Environment Court hearing.
3. That this meeting of Keiraville residents and supporters calls on the four Ward 2 councillors and the Lord Mayor to publicly declare their support for the inclusion of KRAG in the DA assessment and Court process around DA2020/4 and its amended version.
4. That this meeting of Keiraville residents and supporters calls on our state MP, Mr Ryan Park, to make strong representations to the State Government to initiate a review of the processes and procedures for development assessments such as that involved here, which appear unfairly weighted towards the interests of developers, and most particularly include secrecy provisions which are unwarranted, anti-democratic and not in the public interest.
5. That the Minister for Planning be requested to amend the legislation to give Councils the ability to determine where, in R2 zones, medium and high density are to be permitted.